## IN THE WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL BIKASH BHAVAN, SALT LAKE CITY K O L K A T A – 700 091

Present :-The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen) Member (J)

## J U D G E M E N T -of-Case No. OA-31 of 2021

Arunavo Gupta.....Applicant.

-Versus-

State of West Bengal & others....Respondents

For the Applicant	:-	Mr. M.N. Roy. Mr. G. Halder, Ld. Advocates.
For the State Respondent	:-	Mr. S.N. Ray, Ld. Advocate.

Judgement delivered on : 12.02.2021.

The Judgement of the Tribunal was delivered by :-Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member (J).

## JUDGEMENT

## OA-31 of 2021

The matter is taken up by the Single Bench pursuant to the Notification No. 949-WBAT/2J-15/2016 dated 24.12.2020 issued in exercise of the power conferred under Sub-Section (6) of Section 5 of the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985.

The instant application has been filed mainly praying for concluding the departmental proceedings initiated vide Memorandum dated 06.08.2014 (Annexure-A). The applicant had participated in the said departmental proceedings. Thereafter he was served with a second show cause dated 20.11.2018 proposing the tentative punishment, which he replied vide letter dated 07.01.2019. However, till date the said departmental proceeding has not been concluded.

During the course of hearing, the counsel for the applicant referred one order date4d 11.12.2020 passed in OA-420 of 2020 and has prayed for extension of the benefit of the said order.

Thereafter, the counsel for the applicant has submitted that it would suffice his purpose, if the authority would be directed to conclude the departmental proceedings within a stipulated period of time as nearly more than six years have already been lapsed after the issuance of show cause notice.

The counsel for the applicant has also referred the case of Prem Nath Bali Vs. Registrar, High Court of Delhi & Another reported in (2015) 16 SCC 415 has referred the paragraph 28 of the said judgement, which is as follows :-

"Keeping these factors in mind, I am of the considered opinion that every employer (whether State or Private) must make sincere endeavour to conclude the departmental enquiry proceedings once initiated against the delinquent employee within a reasonable time by giving priority to such proceedings and as far as possible it should be concluded within six months as an outer limit. Where it is not possible for the employer to conclude due to certain unavoidable causes arising in the proceedings within the time frame then efforts should be made to conclude within the reasonably extended period depending upon the cause and the nature of inquiry but not more than a year."

The state respondents has prayed for further time to conclude the proceedings.

Heard the parties and perused the records. It is noted that the show cause notice was already issued on 06.08.2014 even second show cause notice was issued on 20.11.2018 against which the applicant has submitted his reply on 07.01.2019. Therefore, I direct the Respondent No. 2 to conclude the departmental proceedings within a period of six months and to take a final decision by way of passing a speaking and reasoned order as per rules and communicate the same within six months from date of receipt of the order. In default, the proceedings should be vitiated. Accordingly, OA is disposed of. Parties are directed to act on the Web Copy of the order.

URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER (J)

2